



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/720,177

11/25/2003

Jun Nakamura

US-110

6388

38108 7590 01/30/2009

CERMAK & KENEALY LLP

ACS LLC

515 EAST BRADDOCK ROAD

SUITE B

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

RAMIREZ, DELIA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1652

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/720,177	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner DELIA M. RAMIREZ	Art Unit 1652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DELIA M. RAMIREZ. (3) ____.

(2) Shelly Guest Cermak. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 12, 18 and proposed 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested clarification of the reasons why claims 12 and 18 were rejected under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs. Proposed claim 22 was discussed and the Examiner provided suggestions as to how to overcome potential rejections if this claim were to be submitted for examination on the merits.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Delia M. Ramirez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652	
---	--